arXiv:1701.06197v1 [math.GT] 22 Jan 2017

INVARIANT INCOMPRESSIBLE SURFACES IN REDUCIBLE 3-MANIFOLDS

CHRISTOFOROS NEOFYTIDIS AND SHICHENG WANG

ABSTRACT. We study the effect of the mapping class group of a reducible 3-manifold M on each incompressible surface that is invariant under a self-homeomorphism of M. As an application of this study we answer a question of F. Rodriguez Hertz, M. Rodriguez Hertz and R. Ures: A reducible 3-manifold admits an Anosov torus if and only if one of its prime summands is either the 3-torus, the mapping torus of $-id$, or the mapping torus of a hyperbolic automorphism.

1. INTRODUCTION

A closed oriented connected 3-manifold M is called irreducible if every embedded 2-sphere in M bounds a 3-ball; otherwise M is called reducible. We say that an embedded closed oriented connected surface $F \neq S^2$ in M is incompressible if whenever $\partial D \cap F = \partial D$ for an embedded disc $D \subset M$, then ∂D bounds a disc in F; equivalently the homomorphism $\pi_1(F) \to \pi_1(M)$ induced by inclusion is injective. We refer to [3] for standard notions and terminology on 3-manifolds.

If M is a reducible 3-manifold, then by the Kneser-Milnor theorem it can be decomposed, uniquely up to diffeomorphism, into a finite connected sum

$$M = M_1 \# M_2 \# \cdots \# M_n \# (\#_m S^1 \times S^2),$$

where each M_i is irreducible and $m \geq 0$.

The following fundamental result on the mapping class groups of reducible 3-manifolds was first announced in [2]. An elegant proof of this theorem was given by McCullough in [4, pp. 70–71]. As McCullough remarks, his proof is based on an argument of Scharlemann which appeared in [1, Appendix A].

Theorem 1.1. ([4, page 69]). *Let M be a compact oriented connected 3-manifold. Then any orientation-preserving homeomorphism of M is isotopic to a composite of the following four types of homeomorphisms:*

(1) *homeomorphisms preserving summands;*
(2) *interchanges of homeomorphic summands;*
(3) *spins of $S^1 \times S^2$ summands;*
(4) *slide homeomorphisms.*

Date: January 24, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M50, 57N37, 37D20, 51H20.

We would like to thank Professor Xuezhi Zhao for helpful conversations and comments. The first author gratefully acknowledges the hospitality and support of Peking University where part of this research was carried out.

In fact, the proof of Theorem 1.1 presented in [4, pp. 70-71] contains a finer form of that statement as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a compact oriented connected 3-manifold and f be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of M. Then*

$$hf = g_3 g_2 g_1,$$

where h is a finite composition of homeomorphisms of type 4 (slide homeomorphisms) and isotopies on M, and each g_k is a composition of finitely many homeomorphisms of type k on M.

Theorem 1.2 already implies that hf permutes the prime summands of M. The main result of this paper is that h can be chosen so that its restriction on each f-invariant incompressible surface is the identity.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f\colon M \to M$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of a closed oriented connected 3-manifold M. If F is an incompressible surface in M with $f(F) = F$, then h in Theorem 1.2 can be chosen to be the identity on F.*

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3 and its proof is the following:

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose $f\colon M \to M$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of a closed oriented connected 3-manifold M and F an f-invariant incompressible surface. Then F can be isotoped into a prime summand of M so that $hf\colon M \to M$ preserves this prime summand and F, where h is a finite composition of slide homeomorphisms and isotopies.*

Incompressible surfaces that are invariant under homeomorphisms play important roles in the study of 3-manifolds, in particular with respect to the effect of the induced action on their fundamental group. We say that an embedded 2-torus T in a 3-manifold M is an *Anosov torus* if there exists a diffeomorphism f on M such that $f(T) = T$ and the induced action of f over the fundamental group of T is hyperbolic, or equivalently $f|_T$ is (isotopic to) an Anosov map.

Motivated by problems in partially hyperbolic dynamics, F. Rodriguez Hertz, M. Rodriguez Hertz and R. Ures proved that only a few irreducible 3-manifolds admit Anosov tori:

Theorem 1.5. ([5, Theorem 1.1]). *A closed oriented connected irreducible 3-manifold admits an Anosov torus if and only if it is one of the following:*

1. *the 3-torus;*
2. *the mapping torus of $-id$;*
3. *the mapping torus of a hyperbolic automorphism.*

As pointed out in [5], it is easy to construct arbitrarily many different reducible 3-manifolds that admit Anosov tori. Indeed, once a manifold M admits an Anosov torus, then the connected sum of M with any other 3-manifold admits an Anosov torus as well; see [5, Remark 2.6]. Thus, in view of Theorem 1.5, the following interesting question arises, which was our inspiration for this paper:

Question 1. ([5, Question 1.4]). Let M be a closed oriented connected reducible 3-manifold. If M admits an Anosov torus, is one of its prime summands necessarily one of the 3-manifolds listed in Theorem 1.5?

As an application of Theorem 1.3, we answer Question 1 in the affirmative:

Theorem 1.6. *A closed oriented connected 3-manifold admits an Anosov torus if and only if one of its prime summands is one of the following:*

(1) *the 3-torus;*
(2) *the mapping torus of $-id$;*
(3) *the mapping torus of a hyperbolic automorphism.*

Outline. In Section 2 we recall the descriptions of the four types of homeomorphisms given in Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.3, Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 1.6.

2. Mapping class groups of reducible 3-manifolds

In this section we recall the isotopy types of the orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of 3-manifolds given in Theorem 1.1. We follow McCullough's survey paper [4] for the description of the mapping class groups of reducible 3-manifolds. Suppose M is a closed oriented reducible 3-manifold. By the Kneser-Milnor theorem, M admits a non-trivial decomposition

$$M = M_1 \# M_2 \# \cdots \# M_n \# (\#_m S^1 \times S^2),$$

where the summands M_i are irreducible and $m \geq 0$.

Consider the following construction of M: Remove $n + 2m$ open 3-balls from a 3-sphere to obtain a punctured 3-cell W with boundary components $S_1, S_2, ..., S_n, S_{n+1,0}, S_{n+1,1}, ..., S_{n+m,0}, S_{n+m,1}$. For each summand M_i, $i = 1, ..., n$, choose a 3-ball D_i and attach $M_i' = M_i - \mathrm{int}(D_i)$ to S_i along ∂D_i. For $n + 1 \leq j \leq n + m$, let $S_j \times I$ be a copy of $S^2 \times I$ attached to W by identifying $S_j \times 0$ with $S_{j,0}$ and $S_j \times 1$ with $S_{j,1}$ to form an $S^1 \times S^2$ summand.

Using the above construction, we now describe the four types of homeomorphisms of M given in Theorem 1.1. Note that two orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of W are isotopic if and only if they induce the same permutation on the set of boundary components of W.

1. Homeomorphisms preserving summands. These are the homeomorphisms that restrict to the identity on W. They form a subgroup of $\mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ isomorphic to $\Pi_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Homeo}(M_i \text{ rel } D_i) \times \Pi_{j=1}^{m}\mathrm{Homeo}(S^2 \times I \text{ rel } S^2 \times \partial I)$. Note that $\mathrm{Homeo}(S^2 \times I \text{ rel } S^2 \times \partial I)$ has two path components, that of the identity and that of a rotation about $S^2 \times 1/2$.

2. Interchanges of homeomorphic summands. Suppose M_i and M_j are orientation-preserving homeomorphic summands. Then we can construct a homeomorphism of M fixing all other summands, leaving W invariant, and interchanging M_i' and M_j'. Similarly, we can interchange two $S^1 \times S^2$ summands, leaving W invariant.

3. Spins of $S^1 \times S^2$ summands. For each $n+1 \leq j \leq n+m$, we can construct a homeomorphism of M fixing all other summands, leaving W invariant, interchanging $S_{j,0}$ and $S_{j,1}$, and restricting to an orientation-preserving homeomorphism that interchanges the boundary components of $S_j \times I$.

4. Slide homeomorphisms. For $i \leq n$, let $\widehat{M}$ be obtained from M by replacing M_i with a 3-cell E. Let α be an arc in $\widehat{M}$ meeting E only in its endpoints. Choose an isotopy J_t of $\widehat{M}$ with $J_0 = id_{\widehat{M}}$ and $J_1|_E = id_E$, so that J_t moves E around α. A *slide homeomorphism on M that slides M_i around α* is a homeomorphism $h\colon M \to M$ defined by $h|_{M-M_i'} = J_1|_{\widehat{M}-E}$ and $h|_{M_i'} = id|_{M_i'}$. Choosing a different J_t, it changes h by an isotopy and, possibly, by a rotation about S_i. Thus a choice of α might determine two isotopy classes of a slide homeomorphism.

Similarly, we can slide either end of $S_j \times I$ around an arc in $M - S_j \times (0,1)$.

Note, finally, that if α_1 and α_2 are two arcs meeting E only in their endpoints, and α is an arc representing the product of α_1 and α_2 in $\pi_1(M - M_i', S_i)$, then a slide of M_i around α is isotopic to a composite of slides around α_1 and α_2. Similarly for sliding ends of $S_j \times I$'s. It follows that the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ generated by slide homeomorphisms is finitely generated.

3. Controlled slidings and isotopies

We now prove Theorem 1.3. Given a homeomorphism f on M, we will perform slide homeomorphisms and isotopies, controlling their effect on each f-invariant incompressible surface.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose F is an incompressible surface in M and $f\colon M \to M$ is a homeomorphism so that $f(F) = F$.

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward:

Lemma 3.1. *For any homeomorphism $\varphi\colon M \to M$, $\varphi(F)$ is an $\varphi f \varphi^{-1}$-invariant incompressible surface in M.*

Let Σ be the union of the $n+2m$ prime decomposition 2-spheres as described in Section 2. By a standard argument in 3-manifold topology, there is an isotopy H_t of M so that $H_1(F)$ is disjoint from Σ. Thus we can assume that $F \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$, and so F lies in some M_i', say in M_1' (possibly after replacing f by $H_1 f H_1^{-1}$ and F by $H_1(F)$, according to Lemma 3.1). It is then clear that M_1 is not one of the $S^2 \times S^1$ summands. Also, $F \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$ implies that $f(F) \cap f(\Sigma) = \emptyset$. Since $f(F) = F$, we have both

$$F \cap \Sigma = \emptyset \ \text{ and } \ F \cap f(\Sigma) = \emptyset. \qquad (1)$$

We may assume that Σ and $f(\Sigma)$ meet transversely. The major part of the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [4] is to modify f to reduce the number of components of $\Sigma \cap f(\Sigma)$ by a sequence of slide homeomorphisms and isotopies so that $\Sigma \cap f(\Sigma) = \emptyset$, and finally make a further isotopy so that $\Sigma = f(\Sigma)$. And h in Theorem 1.2 is the composition of those slides and isotopies.

Proposition 3.2. *Each factor of h can be chosen so that it does not touch F during its sliding/isotopy process.*



FIGURE 1. A controlled isotopy pulls E_1 into W eliminating C.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We are going to prove this claim by examining at each step in the proof of Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 1.1) the effect of our choice of h on F.

Let C be a circle of intersection that is innermost on $f(\Sigma)$, so that C bounds a disc E_1 in $f(\Sigma)$ with $\mathrm{int}(E_1)$ disjoint from Σ.

Case 1. If $E_1 \subset M_i$, then E_1 separates a 3-ball B from M_i' (since M_i is a prime factor) and $\partial B = E_1 \cup E'$, where E' is a disk in $S_i - C$. Since $E_1 \subset f(\Sigma)$ and $E' \subset \Sigma$, we conclude by (1) that $F \cap \partial B = \emptyset$. Since F is incompressible, we indeed have $F \cap B = \emptyset$. We choose a regular neighborhood $N(B)$ of B such that $F \cap N(B) = \emptyset$. Then there is an isotopy s pulling E_1 into W across B with support in $N(B)$, eliminating C (and possibly other circles of intersection as well). Clearly this isotopy process does not touch F, therefore (1) still holds when we replace f with sf. For simplicity, we will continue using f to denote sf. We call the isotopy that we just performed a *controlled isotopy*; see Figure 1.

Similarly, if $E_1 \subset S_j \times I$, $n+1 \leq j \leq n+m$, then C can be eliminated by a controlled isotopy which does not affect F.

Case 2. Suppose now $E_1 \subset W$ and $C \subset S_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. We may assume that the interior of the other disc bounded by ∂E_1 in $f(\Sigma)$ intersects Σ, otherwise it must lie in M_i' and so C can be eliminated by a controlled isotopy as in Case 1. Thus we can choose an arc α_0 in $f(\Sigma) \cap M_i'$ with one endpoint in C and the other endpoint in $S_i - C$. Denote by E_2 the disc in S_i which is the closure of the component of $S_i - C$ that does not contain the other endpoint of α_0. The 2-sphere $E_1 \cup E_2$ bounds a punctured 3-cell $W_1 \subset W$. Suppose M_k (where $k \neq i$) is attached to W_1. There is an arc α with endpoints in S_k which consists of three portions: First α travels in W_1 to S_i, then it goes through $M_i' - f(\Sigma)$ emerging in $W - W_1$, following along near α_0, and finally it travels through W back to S_k; see Figure 2 (or Figure 1 in [4]).

Since $F \cap f(\Sigma) = \emptyset$ and $\alpha_0 \subset f(\Sigma)$, we can choose the second portion of α close enough to α_0 so that it does not touch F. Moreover, the first portion and the



FIGURE 2. A controlled sliding along α supported in $N(S_k \cup \alpha)$.

third portion of α also do not touch F, since they stay in W and meet the second portion at its end points, and F lies in M_1'. So we conclude that α does not touch F. By (1) we have $F \cap (S_k \cup \alpha) = \emptyset$. Therefore we can further find a regular neighborhood $N(S_k \cup \alpha)$ (the region bounded by bold lines in Figure 2) such that $F \cap N(S_k \cup \alpha) = \emptyset$. Now we quote the following fact whose proof follows rather directly from the definition, and which has been carefully presented in [7] with a fine figure illustration and precise formulas:

Lemma 3.3. *If s is a slide homeomorphism along an arc α with ends in S_k, then s is supported in a regular neighborhood $N(S_k \cup \alpha)$.*

Slide M_k around α, that is compose f with the slide homeomorphism s that slides M_k around α, to reduce a puncture in W_1. By Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $F \cap N(S_k \cup \alpha) = \emptyset$, we have that the sliding s does not touch F. Therefore (1) still holds when we replace f with sf. Again, for simplicity we still use f to denote sf. We call the slide homeomorphism that we just performed a *controlled sliding* (see Figure 2).

Similarly, we slide each end of an $S_j \times I$ attached to W_1 without touching F.

We continue performing controlled slidings for each M_k attached to W_1 and each end of an $S_j \times I$ attached to W_1 until we reach at the point where $E_1 \cup E_2$ bounds a 3-ball in W. Now C can be eliminated by a controlled isotopy.

Finally, suppose $C \subset S_{j,0}$, for some $n+1 \leq j \leq n+m$. If there is no arc in $f(\Sigma) \cap (S_j \times I)$ with one end in C and the other end in $S_{j,1}$, then C can be eliminated by a controlled isotopy. If there is an arc in $f(\Sigma) \cap (S_j \times I)$ with one end in C and the other end in $S_{j,1}$, then we choose E_2 so that $S_{j,1}$ is not a boundary component of W_1 and slide as above summands M_k attached to W_1 and each end of an $S_k \times I$ attached to W_1 until C is eliminated.

Repeating the above controlled slidings and controlled isotopies as far as needed, we reach $f(\Sigma) \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$.

Note that no component of Σ (resp. of $f(\Sigma)$) can bound a 3-ball in M. For each M_i', there are two cases (similarly for each $S_j \times I$):

- There is some $f(S_k) \subset M_i'$. Since M_i is a prime factor, each 2-sphere in $M_i' = M_i - \mathrm{int}(D_i)$ which does not bound a 3-ball must be parallel to S_i, that is to say, $f(S_k)$ and S_i bound a 3-manifold homeomorphic to $S^2 \times [0,1]$ in M.
- $M_i' \subset f(M_k')$ for some k. By the same reason as above, $f(S_k)$ must be parallel to S_i.

Both cases imply that Σ and $f(\Sigma)$ bound a $\Sigma \times [0,1]$, a disjoint union of $n + 2m$ copies of $S^2 \times [0,1]$, in M. Clearly we can isotope $f(\Sigma)$ to Σ by pushing $f(\Sigma)$ across the $\Sigma \times [0,1]$ and then reach $f(W) = W$. Since (1) holds and F is incompressible, we have $F \cap N(\Sigma \times [0,1]) = \emptyset$ for some regular neighborhood of $\Sigma \times [0,1]$, therefore the last isotopy can be made without touching F. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.2. □

We have now completed the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Suppose F is an incompressible surface in

$$M = M_1 \# \cdots \# M_n \# (\#_m S^2 \times S^1),$$

where each M_i is irreducible and $m \geq 0$. As explained in the proof of Theorem 1.3 (cf. Lemma 3.1), we may assume that F lies in $M_1' = M_1 - \mathrm{int}(D_1)$.

Let h be a composition of controlled slidings and isotopies as performed in Theorem 1.3 so that

$$hf(\Sigma) = g_3 g_2 g_1(\Sigma) = \Sigma.$$

This means that hf permutes the prime factors of M. Since moreover h restricts to the identity on F and $f(F) = F$, we deduce that $hf(F) = f(F) = F$. Since $F \subset M_1'$ we conclude that

$$hf(M_1') = M_1'.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let M be a closed oriented connected 3-manifold and suppose $T \subset M$ is an Anosov torus, that is, there is a diffeomorphism $f\colon M \to M$ such that $f(T) = T$ and the induced homomorphism $f_*\colon \pi_1(T) \to \pi_1(T)$ is hyperbolic.

Since every Anosov torus is incompressible [6], we can assume that T lies in some M_i', say in M_1' (cf. Lemma 3.1). By Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 there is a finite composition h of slide homeomorphisms and isotopies so that

$$hf(T) = T = f(T) \text{ and } hf(M_1') = M_1'.$$

We extend $hf|_{M_1'}$ to a diffeomorphism $g\colon M_1 \to M_1$. We have

$$g|_T = hf|_T = f|_T,$$

and so $g|_T\colon T \to T$ is Anosov. Since M_1 is irreducible, M_1 must be one of the three types of 3-manifolds listed in Theorem 1.5. This finishes the proof. □

REFERENCES

[1] F. Bonahon, *Cobordism of automorphisms of surfaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **16** (1983), 237–270.
[2] E. César de Sá and C. Rourke, *The homotopy type of homeomorphisms of 3-manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **1**, no. 1 (1979), 251–254.
[3] J. Hempel, *3-manifolds*, Princeton University Press And University of Tokyo Press, 1976.
[4] D. McCullough, *Mappings of reducible 3-manifolds*, Proceedings of the Semester in Geometric and Algebraic Topology, Warsaw, Banach Center (1986), 61–76.
[5] F. Rodriguez Hertz, M. Rodriguez Hertz and R. Ures, *Tori with hyperbolic dynamics in 3-manifolds*, J. Mod. Dyn. **5**, no. 1 (2011), 185–202.
[6] F. Rodriguez Hertz, M. Rodriguez Hertz and R. Ures, *Partial hyperbolicity and ergodicity in dimension three*, J. Mod. Dyn. **2**, no. 2 (2008), 187–208.
[7] X. Zhao, *On the Nielsen numbers of slide homeomorphisms on 3-manifolds.* Topology Appl. **136**, no. 1-3 (2004), 169–188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SUNY BINGHAMTON, BINGHAMTON NY 13902-6000, USA
E-mail address: chrisneo@math.binghamton.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA
E-mail address: wangsc@math.pku.edu.cn